COUNTY OF MADERA BUDGET UNIT EXPENDITURE DETAIL BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2012-13

Department:

DISTRICT ATTORNEY-WORKERS'

COMP. INSURANCE FRAUD (03541)

Function: Activity: Public Protection Judicial - Other

Fund:	General

		50455	ruliu. Gelleral	
ACCOUNT CLASSIFICATION	ACTUAL EXPENDITURES <u>2010-11</u>	BOARD APPROVED EXPENDITURES <u>2011-12</u>	DEPARTMENT REQUEST 2012-13	CAO RECOMMENDED <u>2012-13</u>
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS				
710102 Permanent Salaries	31,926	2,841	0	0
710200 Retirement	7,512	730	0	0
710300 Health Insurance	2,972	362	0	0
TOTAL SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	42,410	3,933	0	0
SERVICES & SUPPLIES				
721100 Memberships	130	14	0	0
721300 Office Expense	34	0	0	0
721400 Professional & Specialized Services	0	2,500	0	0
722000 Transportation & Travel	796	1,600	0	0
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	960	4,114	0	0
TOTAL - DISTRICT ATTORNEY-WORKERS'				
COMP. INSURANCE FRAUD	43,370	8,047	0	0

DISTRICT ATTORNEY – WORKER'S COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD

COMMENTS

In September 1996, the Board of Supervisors authorized the District Attorney to accept a Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Grant from the California Insurance Commissioner for investigation and prosecution of workers' compensation insurance fraud. This grant paid for one-third of a Deputy District Attorney's salary and associated costs to investigate and prosecute workers' compensation insurance fraud cases. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, there are no appropriations recommended due to lack of cases being referred. (For further information, please see the explanation under Staffing.)

REVENUE

	Actual	Estimated	Projected	
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
State Grant	\$ 1,447	\$ 2,955	\$ 0*	
County Costs (shortfall)	41,924	10,429	0	
Total	43,371	13,384	0	

STAFFING

	2011-12 Authorized		2012-13 Recommended	
<u>Permanent</u>	<u>Funded</u>	<u>Unfunded</u>	<u>Funded</u>	<u>Unfunded</u>
Deputy District Attorney I/II/III	0.03*	0.30*	0.00	0.00

*Due to the State's policy regarding this program, a change in the percentage of time the prosecutor is assigned to this program is necessary; the grant only provides for the prosecutor's actual time spent working in the program. Averaging the amount of cases being referred to the program by the Department of Insurance and all ancillary duties related to them has necessitated the change from 33% to 0%. This program has become cost prohibitive as the indirect costs have increased, and the revenue generating referrals have decreased. Currently, there is only one case capable of generating revenue in this program, and that will not justify the indirect costs associated with its administration.