

**COUNTY OF MADERA  
BUDGET UNIT EXPENDITURE DETAIL  
BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2013-14**

Department: **DISTRICT ATTORNEY-WORKERS'  
COMP. INSURANCE FRAUD (03541)**  
Function: **Public Protection**  
Activity: **Judicial - Other**  
Fund: **General**

<u>ACCOUNT CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>ACTUAL EXPENDITURES 2011-12</u>	<u>BOARD APPROVED EXPENDITURES 2012-13</u>	<u>DEPARTMENT REQUEST 2013-14</u>	<u>CAO RECOMMENDED 2013-14</u>
SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS				
710102 Permanent Salaries	7,836	0	0	0
710200 Retirement	1,992	0	0	0
710300 Health Insurance	742	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL SALARIES &amp; EMPLOYEE BENEFITS</b>	<b>10,571</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
SERVICES & SUPPLIES				
721900 Special Departmental Expense	80,009	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL SERVICES &amp; SUPPLIES</b>	<b>80,009</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL - DISTRICT ATTORNEY-WORKERS' COMP. INSURANCE FRAUD</b>	<b>90,580</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

## DISTRICT ATTORNEY – WORKER’S COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD

### COMMENTS

In September 1996, the Board of Supervisors authorized the District Attorney to accept a Workers’ Compensation Insurance Fraud Grant from the California Insurance Commissioner for investigation and prosecution of workers’ compensation insurance fraud. This grant paid for one-third of a Deputy District Attorney’s salary and associated costs to investigate and prosecute workers’ compensation insurance fraud cases. For Fiscal Year 2013-14, there are no appropriations recommended due to lack of cases being referred. (For further information, please see the explanation under Staffing.)

### REVENUE

	<b>Actual <u>2011-12</u></b>	<b>Estimated <u>2012-13</u></b>	<b>Projected <u>2013-14</u></b>
State Grant	\$ 2,954	\$ 0	\$ 0
County Costs (shortfall)	<u>7,616</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	\$10,570	\$ 0	\$ 0

### STAFFING

<b><u>Permanent</u></b>	<b><u>2012-13 Authorized</u></b>	<b><u>2013-14 Recommended</u></b>
Deputy District Attorney I/II/III*	0	0

\*Due to the State’s policy regarding this program, a change in the percentage of time the prosecutor is assigned to this program was necessary; the grant only provided for the prosecutor’s actual time spent working in the program. Averaging the amount of cases being referred to the program by the Department of Insurance and all ancillary duties related to them necessitated the change from 33% to 0% in 2012-13. This program has become cost prohibitive as the indirect costs have increased, and the revenue generating referrals have decreased.